

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/662,236 | NAIMER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Julie Lieu | 2636 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Julie Lieu.

(3) _____.

(2) John Wahl.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See *Continuation Sheet*

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An examiner's amendment will be made to distinguish the claimed invention from a newly cited patent to Selk, II et al. (6,885,313). The amendment will clearly point out that the shape of the ANP and RNP correspond and the ANP symbol partially overlaps the RNP symbol which is not found in the prior art.